

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

MR. JONATHAN N. HOLLINS,

Plaintiff,

v.

J.M. HOLLISTER, LLC,

Defendant.

---

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

4:08CV3013

**MEMORANDUM  
AND ORDER**

Plaintiff's counsel has filed a motion (filing [32](#)) for a 30-day extension of time to reply to Defendant's motion for summary judgment (filing [28](#)). Defendant objects to the requested extension of time because the motion is untimely and fails to show good cause—or any cause—for the extension. See [Fed. R. Civ. P. 6](#). Because Defendant's objections are well-taken, I shall only partially grant Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Plaintiff's Motion for Enlargement of Time (filing [32](#)) is granted in part, and Plaintiff shall respond to Defendant's motion for summary judgment (filing [28](#)) on or before October 21, 2008. The motion is otherwise denied.

2. The Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Nebraska shall adjust the court's internal computerized record-keeping system to reflect that Defendant's motion for summary judgment (filing [28](#)) is ripe for disposition on October 22, 2008.

DATED this 14<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2008.

BY THE COURT:

*s/ Richard G. Kopf*

United States District Judge